UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/771,880	01/30/2001	Hiroshi Hagane	Q62767	2676
	7590 10/26/200 ION, ZINN, MACPEA	EXAMINER		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			CHAN, RICHARD	
Washington, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2618		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/771,880	HAGANE, HIROSHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
RICHARD CHAN	2618		

	LAGIIIIICI	Air oille					
	RICHARD CHAN	2618					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 October 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appl for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C 	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abai t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request				
periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	-						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL On The Netice of Appeal was filed on the Aprict in company of Appeal was filed on the Appeal of Appeal was filed on the Appeal of Appeal was filed on the Appeal of Appeal of Appeal was filed on the Appeal of Appeal was filed on the Appeal of Appeal of Appeal of Appeal was filed on the Appeal of Ap	dianas with 27 CED 41 27 must be f	filad within two month	a of the data of				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was AMENIONEDIES. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u> 3.	but prior to the data of filing a brief	وعا لموسوفون والمام والنادر					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate, t		_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the contraction of the contrac		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	t before or on the date of filing a No	stice of Anneal will not	t he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. 🛛 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
Applicant argues that the Chen reference does not discle communication (text communication) execution of a sec	ose wherien allowing during the execution on the execution on the execution (speed on the execution) is not the execution of	ecution of a first mode ch communication) is	<u>of</u> taking place.				
However, the examiner points the applicant to the Final	Rejection mailed on 07/07/09, spec	ifically page 3, where	n the examiner				
refers to the Roeseler reference, specifiaclly Fig.5 Col.8 can get a text description during a phone call	ille 50-45 as the reference which to	eaches a caliprocess	wherein the user				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Nay A. Maung/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618 /Richard Chan/ Examiner, Art Unit 2618 Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20091019